CHIEF JUSTICE DORI CONTRERAS

JUSTICES

GINA M. BENAVIDES


NORA L. LONGORIA

LETICIA HINOJOSA

JAIME TIJERINA

CLARISSA SILVA

CLERK KATHY S. MILLS



NUECES COUNTY COURTHOUSE 901 LEOPARD, 10TH FLOOR CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS 78401 361-888-0416 (TEL) 361-888-0794 (FAX)

HIDALGO COUNTY COURTHOUSE ANNEX III 100 E. CANO, 5TH FLOOR EDINBURG, TEXAS 78539 956-318-2405 (TEL) 956-318-2403 (FAX)

www.txcourts.gov/13thcoa

January 14, 2021

Hon. Savita Rai Assistant City Attorney Litigation Division 401 S. Frio Street San Antonio, TX 78205 * DELIVERED VIA E-MAIL * Hon. Samira Mery Linberger Union Pacific Railroad Company 4400 Piedras Drive, So #101 San Antonio, TX 78228 * DELIVERED VIA E-MAIL *

Hon. Samuel Charles Wood Adams Assistant City Attorney, Litigation Division 401 S. Frio Street San Antonio, TX 78207-4416 * DELIVERED VIA E-MAIL *

Re: Cause No. 13-20-00199-CV

Tr.Ct.No. 2019Cl01577

Style: City of San Antonio v. Arturo Lopez and Elizabeth Lopez

Dear Counsel:

Upon receipt of this letter, please notify the Clerk, in writing, confirming receipt of this Court's submission notice.

The referenced cause has been set for submission and oral argument on February 18, 2021, at 1:00 p.m., before a panel consisting of Justices Benavides, Longoria, and Tijerina. In accordance with the disaster proclamations issued by Governor Abbott and the emergency orders issued by the Texas Supreme Court and the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals, and in order to ensure the safety of the public and our staff, the Thirteenth Court of Appeals will hold this oral argument entirely remotely via videoconferencing on the Zoom Application. An email containing the hyperlinked Zoom invite and password will be sent the first week in February. Please review the enclosed instructions for remote participation.

The Court has determined this case merits oral argument. Tex. R. App. P. 39.7. The Court expects both parties to be present and ready for argument even if only one party has requested oral argument. Each side is allowed twenty minutes to present its case. If additional time is needed, you must file a motion requesting same prior to the date of submission. This Court looks with disfavor on the failure of lawyers to appear after having requested oral argument or last

Case No. 13-20-00199-CV Page 2

minute notices of waived argument. We consider these practices to be discourteous and it prevents the Court from scheduling other cases for submission.

If this setting presents an irreconcilable conflict in your court schedule, it will be necessary for you to file a motion to postpone argument.

Very truly yours,

Kathy S. Mills, Clerk